UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,513	07/10/2008	Luca Matteucci	071308.0735	2185
31625 BAKER BOTT	7590 04/05/201 S L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
PATENT DEPA		GANEY, STEVEN J		
98 SAN JACINTO BLVD., SUITE 1500 AUSTIN, TX 78701-4039			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/05/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

monty.hamilton@bakerbotts.com apatent@bakerbotts.com crystle.garbade@bakerbotts.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/597,513	MATTEUCCI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	STEVEN J. GANEY	3752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
• •	V IS SET TO EVDIDE 2 MONTH/	S) OD THIDTY (20) DAVE				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Ju</u>	ulv 2006.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/30/07;06/05/09</u> . 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. Applicant is advised that should claim 1 be found allowable, claim 6 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US20020134855.

US20020134855 discloses a fluid injector comprising all the featured elements of the instant invention, note specifically a housing 14; a valve body/cartridge with needle 40; actuator 100; hollow body forming first spring rest 50; valve cap 17 with second spring rest, see Figure 1; sealing element 60; and spring 48. Note that the valve cap 17 is fixedly connected to the housing 14. The recitation of lines 11-16 in claims 1 and 6, are considered product-by-process

Art Unit: 3752

limitations and therefore, the apparatus of US20020134855 meets the limitations as currently recited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3-5, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US20020134855 in view of Minoura et al.

US20020134855 discloses all the featured elements of the instant invention except for a second sealing element between the housing and the valve cap and a threaded connection between the valve cap and the housing. Minoura et al discloses a fluid injector with seals between valve cap 11a and the cartridge 14 and between the valve cap 11a and the housing 11b/12. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a seal between the housing and the valve cap, as taught by Minoura et al, since such a modification would ensure a proper seal between the two elements when they are connected. As to providing a threaded connection between the valve cap and the housing, such a fixing connection is well known in the fuel injector art and the apparatus of US20020134855 would be capable of having the vale cap and housing fixedly connected by a threaded connection.

Art Unit: 3752

As to claim 5, the apparatus of US20020134855, discloses all the featured elements of the claim and would be capable of being calibrated when the valve cap is fixedly connected to the housing.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kokubo et al discloses a fluid injector with valve cap encompassing a cartridge connected to a housing.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to STEVEN J. GANEY whose telephone number is (571)272-4899. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00; Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Len Tran can be reached on 571-272-1184. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3752

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Steven J. Ganey/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752

sjg